



HEAD TO HEAD

Should doctors recommend acupuncture for pain?

It's a safe alternative to drugs that is under-researched because it lacks commercial interest, writes **Mike Cummings**, but **Asbjørn Hróbjartsson** and **Edzard Ernst** argue there is no convincing evidence of clinical benefit and that the potential risks and health service costs are unjustified

Mike Cummings *medical director*¹, Asbjørn Hróbjartsson *professor*², Edzard Ernst *emeritus professor*

¹British Medical Acupuncture Society, London, UK; ²Center for Evidence-based Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Yes—Mike Cummings

Guidelines in most developed countries recommend acupuncture for treating pain. Indeed, in Brazil, acupuncture is recognised as a medical specialty.¹ The UK is an exception, with only Scotland recommending acupuncture for chronic pain.²

In the US, acupuncture is recommended for back pain,³ but in the UK it is no longer included in the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence's (NICE) guidelines for low back pain. The 2009 guideline on early management (CG88) was the first to recommend acupuncture, but it was removed in the controversial 2016 update.^{4,5}

Acupuncture remains in the NICE guideline on headaches, and is the only treatment recommended for prophylaxis of chronic tension type headache. Curiously, this guideline calculates that the anticonvulsant topiramate is twice as good as acupuncture in preventing migraine, even though direct comparisons with drugs favour acupuncture.^{6,7}

Sham acupuncture

The approach adopted by NICE compares the benefit of acupuncture over sham acupuncture, with the benefit of topiramate over a placebo, rather than directly comparing acupuncture with topiramate.

This approach assumes that sham acupuncture has no effect beyond an inert pill; however, a large meta-analysis on the differential effects of placebo treatments (in headache) shows that sham acupuncture (and sham surgery) are associated with higher response rates than oral placebos.⁸ So the baseline used for these comparisons is uneven. This explains why the NICE guideline on headaches recommends drugs before acupuncture in prophylaxis of migraine whereas the Cochrane review reports acupuncture is better (immediately after a course of treatment).⁶

The biggest and most robust dataset for acupuncture in chronic pain comes from a meta-analysis by Vickers and colleagues of individual patient data from 20 827 patients.⁹ This shows moderate benefit for acupuncture compared with usual care

(about 0.5 standardised mean difference (SMD) in pain) but smaller effects compared with sham acupuncture of about 0.2 SMD. Importantly, it also shows that 85% of the effect of acupuncture is maintained at one year.

The small, but highly statistically significant, effect of standard needling (acupuncture) over gentle needling (sham acupuncture) indicates the biological plausibility of the technique. But the true value in practice should be measured against usual care or other interventions. Critics dismiss the small effect over sham as bias associated with unblinded practitioners, but I have not heard any plausible mechanism proposed for an unblinded practitioner influencing the pain outcome assessed by a patient who continues to be blinded.

Better quality of life

Further evidence that should urge a more flexible approach from guideline developers comes from a study reporting methods for network meta-analysis on continuous (pain) outcomes. This study used data from the Vickers meta-analysis. A surprise finding was that for health related quality of life, sham acupuncture clearly outperformed usual care in all the types of chronic pain studied.¹⁰ Whether or not you consider these to be the effects of a theatrical placebo, they represent important improvements in quality of life over usual care, and with minimal risk.¹¹

Is it all about money? In hospitals, acupuncture seems to incur more staffing and infrastructure costs than drug based interventions, and in an era of budget restriction, cutting services is a popular short term fix. Group clinics in the community can provide more treatment at much lower cost, but they are vulnerable to the constant re-evaluations in commissioning services. Another challenge is the lack of commercial sector interest in acupuncture, meaning that it does not benefit from the lobbying seen for patented drugs and devices.

In summary, the pragmatic view sees acupuncture as a relatively safe and moderately effective intervention for a wide range of common chronic pain conditions. It has a plausible set of

neurophysiological mechanisms supported by basic science.¹² For those patients who choose it and who respond well, it considerably improves health related quality of life, and it has much lower long term risk for them than non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. It may be especially useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain and osteoarthritis in elderly patients, who are at particularly high risk from adverse drug reactions.

No—Asbjørn Hróbjartsson and Edzard Ernst

Doctors should not recommend acupuncture for pain because there is insufficient evidence that it is clinically worth while. In China, acupuncture was considered irrational and superstitious during 1700-50, excluded from the Imperial Medical Institute in 1822, and only revived after Mao's takeover.¹³ In the West, acupuncture remained a fringe phenomenon until the 1970s, when the counterculture movement disregarded scientific implausibility and embraced alternative healthcare. Today, clinical trials provide an informative basis for debate.

Small effect, high risk of bias

Overviews of clinical pain trials comparing acupuncture with placebo find a small, clinically irrelevant effect that cannot be distinguished from bias.^{9 14 15} Two systematic reviews of randomised trials reported the effect of acupuncture as standardised mean difference (SMD) 0.17 and “close to 0.20,” corresponding to 4-5 mm on a 100 mm visual analogue scale,^{9 14 15} which is below the usual threshold for clinical relevance of 10-15 mm. Also, not one of 12 Cochrane reviews of acupuncture for pain reported a clinically important effect beyond placebo (on low back pain, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer pain, dysmenorrhoea, lateral elbow pain, endometriosis, peripheral joint osteoarthritis, prevention of migraine and tension type headache, shoulder pain, fibromyalgia, and pain in labour).¹⁶ The reviews on back pain, migraine, and tension type headache considered acupuncture a possible treatment option based mostly on trials with non-blinded patients, but effects beyond placebo were “small.”

However, even this small apparent effect may be due to bias rather than acupuncture. Risk of inadequate patient blinding is high in placebo controlled acupuncture trials. Supposedly blinded patients interact repeatedly with unblinded acupuncturists—for example, in nine of 13 trials, patients could clearly distinguish the acupuncture and placebo procedures.^{14 17} So, differences in patient expectations, and in patients' reporting of subjective symptoms such as pain, are likely to result in small to moderate false positive results.

Acupuncture enthusiasts often emphasise “pragmatic” comparisons between acupuncture and usual care.^{9 15 18} However, unblinded pragmatic trials cannot differentiate possible true effects of acupuncture from placebo effects and bias. To inform us reliably of any causal relation between acupuncture and effect, we need to focus on adequately blinded “explanatory” acupuncture trials.^{16 18}

Harms and costs of theatrical placebo

Paradoxically, acupuncture enthusiasts often downplay the importance of acupuncture points, disregarding a clear distinction between acupuncture and placebo. However, if acupuncture is endorsed as a theatrical placebo we should be discussing the ethics of placebo interventions, not the elusive effect of acupuncture.

Acupuncture is often regarded as harmless, but needling may cause pain, haemorrhages, infection, pneumothorax, and even death.¹⁹ In Denmark, for instance, four cases of pneumothorax, one fatal, were disclosed in 2017.^{20 21} Such complications might be rare, but assessments of exact numbers are thwarted by unreliable data. Under-reporting of harm in acupuncture trials is extensive. For example, in a review of back pain, only 14 of 35 trials reported on harms (5% of patients receiving acupuncture and 0% of those receiving placebo).²²

The cost of acupuncture sessions ranges from £25 to £70, and the overall cost to the NHS may amount to £25m (€28m; \$34m) a year, though reliable figures seem unavailable.²¹ Health services funded by taxpayers should use their limited resources for interventions that have been proved effective.

More than 50 years ago the gate control model for pain signals provided a basis for hypothesising nerve stimulation and endorphin secretion as biological mechanisms for acupuncture. However, it has proved difficult to develop such hypotheses into a generally persuasive scientific theory, and mechanisms for perceived analgesic effects of acupuncture remain opaque.

In conclusion, after decades of research and hundreds of acupuncture pain trials, including thousands of patients, we still have no clear mechanism of action, insufficient evidence for clinically worthwhile benefit, and possible harms. Therefore, doctors should not recommend acupuncture for pain.

Competing interests: All authors have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare the following. MC is the salaried medical director of the British Medical Acupuncture Society, which is a membership organisation and charity established to stimulate and promote the use and scientific understanding of acupuncture as part of the practice of medicine for the public benefit. He is an associate editor for *Acupuncture in Medicine*, published by BMJ. He has a modest private income from lecturing outside the UK, royalties from textbooks, and a partnership teaching veterinary surgeons in Western veterinary acupuncture. He has participated in a NICE guideline development group as an expert adviser discussing acupuncture. He has used Western medical acupuncture in clinical practice following a chance observation as a medical officer in the Royal Air Force in 1989.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

- Moré AOO, Tesser CD, Min LS. Integrating acupuncture into primary health care: the experience of an educational model implemented within the Brazilian Unified Health System in Florianópolis. *Acupunct Med* 2016;34:476-81. 10.1136/acupmed-2016-011097 27371532
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. SIGN 136 Management of chronic pain. 2013. <http://www.sign.ac.uk/assets/sign136.pdf>
- Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, Forciea MAClinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2017;166:514-30. 10.7326/M16-2367 28192789
- NICE. Low back pain and sciatica in over 16s: assessment and management. 2016. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng59>.
- Cummings M. NG59 used different levels of evidence for conventional interventions compared with those for acupuncture and may not have adequately addressed personal financial COIs of the GDG chair [electronic response to: Low back pain and sciatica: summary of NICE guidance]. *BMJ* 2017. <http://www.bmj.com/content/356/bmj.i6748/rr-6>
- Linde K, Allais G, Brinkhaus B, et al. Acupuncture for the prevention of episodic migraine. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;2:CD001218. 10.1002/14651858.CD001218.pub3. 27351677
- Yang C-P, Chang M-H, Liu P-E, et al. Acupuncture versus topiramate in chronic migraine prophylaxis: a randomized clinical trial. *Cephalalgia* 2011;31:1510-21. 10.1177/0333102411420585 22019576
- Meissner K, Fässler M, Rucker G, et al. Differential effectiveness of placebo treatments: a systematic review of migraine prophylaxis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:1941-51. 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.10391 24126676
- Vickers AJ, Vertosick EA, Lewith G, et al. Acupuncture Trialists' Collaboration. Acupuncture for chronic pain: update of an individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Pain* 2017;30:S1526-5900(17)30780-0. 10.1016/j.jpain.2017.11.005. 29198932
- Saramago P, Woods B, Weatherly H, et al. Methods for network meta-analysis of continuous outcomes using individual patient data: a case study in acupuncture for chronic pain. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2016;16:131. 10.1186/s12874-016-0224-1 27716074
- Vincent C. The safety of acupuncture. *BMJ* 2001;323:467-8. 10.1136/bmj.323.7311.467 11532826
- Filshie J, White A, Cummings M. *Medical acupuncture—a western scientific approach*. 2nd ed. Elsevier, 2016.

- 13 White A, Ernst E. A brief history of acupuncture. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2004;43:662-3. 10.1093/rheumatology/keg005 15103027
- 14 Madsen MV, Göttsche PC, Hróbjartsson A. Acupuncture treatment for pain: systematic review of randomised clinical trials with acupuncture, placebo acupuncture, and no acupuncture groups. *BMJ* 2009;338:a3115. 10.1136/bmj.a3115 19174438
- 15 Vickers AJ, Cronin AM, Maschino AC, et al. Acupuncture Trialists' Collaboration. Acupuncture for chronic pain: individual patient data meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:1444-53. 10.1001/archinternmed.2012.3654 22965186
- 16 Cochrane Library. Acupuncture. <http://www.cochranelibrary.com/cochrane-database-of-systematic-reviews>
- 17 Hróbjartsson A, Emanuelsson F, Thomsen ASS, Hilden J, Brorson S. Bias due to lack of patient blinding in clinical trials. A systematic review of trials randomising patients to blind and nonblind sub-studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; 10.1093/ije/dyu115.
- 18 Miller FG, Kaptchuk TJ. Acupuncture for chronic low back pain. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:1776, author reply 1777-8.21038518
- 19 Ernst E, Lee MS, Choi TY. Acupuncture: does it alleviate pain and are there serious risks? A review of reviews. *Pain* 2011;152:755-64. 10.1016/j.pain.2010.11.004 21440191
- 20 We must warn about the risks that a needle pierces one or both lungs [In Danish]. *Indland* 2017 Sep 30. www.jyllands-posten.dk/indland/ECE9914858/vi-maa-advare-om-de-risici-der-er-for-at-en-naal-punkterer-en-eller-begge-lunger/
- 21 Derbyshire D. Why acupuncture is giving sceptics the needle. *Guardian* 2013 Jul 26. www.theguardian.com/science/2013/jul/26/acupuncture-sceptics-proof-effective-nhs
- 22 Furlan AD, van Tulder MW, Cherkin DC, et al. Acupuncture and dry-needling for low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;(1):CD001351.15674876

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

HEAD TO HEAD

Commentary: I was pregnant, in pain, and desperate when I chose acupuncture

Kumari Manickasamy *general practitioner, London, UK*

Eighteen months ago I developed severe pelvic girdle pain when pregnant with my second child. I had this condition in my first pregnancy, when it was successfully managed with physiotherapy and manipulation. However, this time it was much more severe and did not respond to the same treatment.

I reduced physical activity, did daily core stability exercises, and wore a sacroiliac support belt, but my mobility deteriorated precipitously. Walking became so difficult I needed crutches and a wheelchair. Sitting and even lying for too long on either side was painful. I could no longer work and became practically housebound. My physiotherapist felt she could do no more for me. In desperation I saw two other therapists, but with no relief. My obstetric team offered me an elective caesarean section as early as possible, but there was nothing more they could do.

This realisation was devastating. I felt abandoned and let down, and I was terrified about how I would cope with the rest of the pregnancy. There are few safe options for pain relief in pregnancy: anti-inflammatory drugs are contraindicated and opioids can cause neonatal abstinence syndrome. I took paracetamol and codeine, desperately hoping that I would not need anything stronger.

I looked at non-drug options for pain control. A TENS (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation) machine gave mild temporary relief. Then I recalled seeing a physiotherapist for low back pain a few years ago, who had used acupuncture alongside manual therapy. I knew that acupuncture is widely used to treat many types of pain and has few adverse effects. After discussion with my physiotherapist, I booked a session at a local private physiotherapy clinic.

I was reassured that the practitioner was a trained physiotherapist who had experience of my condition. She said that in theory acupuncture could trigger premature labour but that little

evidence supported this. After examining me carefully, she gently inserted needles into tender points in the gluteal muscles and pelvic girdle. She also needled a point near my ankle that is supposed to trigger relaxation. After the tiny prick of the needles entering I felt a warm tingling sensation that was rather pleasant. The needles were left in for 15 minutes, during which she occasionally rotated them. The session lasted half an hour and cost £45 (€51; \$62). I was advised to limit driving afterwards and to rest if possible. On returning home I slept for two hours.

I saw her weekly until delivery. Overall I felt a small reduction in pain, but crucially I did not need to increase my analgesia throughout the rest of the pregnancy. I also found the treatments relaxing. Perhaps, most importantly, I felt cared for. It was therapeutic to see an empathic professional on a regular basis who had the time to listen, who understood my pain, and who was trying to relieve it.

At a time of great physical and mental suffering, when I had exhausted all avenues offered by conventional medicine, acupuncture offered me hope. I am fortunate that I was in a financial position to afford it. Women with pelvic girdle pain have to strike a difficult balance between controlling their pain and risking harm to their child. In this situation, there seems to be a clear role for a safe and potentially effective treatment such as acupuncture to help both mother and baby.

Competing interests: I have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and have no relevant interests to declare.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>